**Traffic Flow Forecasting Based on Combination of Multidimensional Scaling and SVM**

Zhanquan Suna, Geoffrey Foxb

*a. Key Laboratory for Computer Network of Shandong Province, Shandong Computer Science Center*

*(19 Keyuan Road, Jinan, Shandong, 250014, China, sunzhq@keylab.net)*

*b. School of Informatics and Computing, Pervasive Technology Institute, Indiana University Bloomington*

*(2719 E 10th St*, *Bloomington, Indiana, 47408, USA, gcfexchange@gmail.com)*

**Abstract:** Traffic flow forecasting is a popular research topic of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS). With the development of information technology, lots of history electronic traffic flow data are collected. How to take full use of the history traffic flow data to improve the traffic flow forecasting precision is an important issue. More history data are considered, more computation cost should be taken. In traffic flow forecasting, many traffic parameters can be chosen to forecast traffic flow. Traffic flow forecasting is a real-time problem, how to improve the computation speed is a very important problem. Feature extraction is an efficient means to improve computation speed. Some feature extraction methods have been proposed, such as PCA, SOM network, and Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) and so on. But PCA can only measure the linear correlation between variables. The computation cost of SOM network is very expensive. In this paper, MDS is used to decrease the dimension of traffic parameters, interpolation MDS is used to increase computation speed. It is combined with nonlinear regression Support Vector Machines (SVM) to forecast traffic flow. The efficiency of the method is illustrated through analyzing the traffic data of Jinan urban transportation.

***Keywords:***Intelligent transportation; Traffic flow forecasting, Multidimensional Scaling; SVM; Interpolation

**1. Introduction**

Short-time traffic flow forecasting is a popular research topic of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS). Correct traffic flow forecasting is the precondition of real-time traffic signal control, traffic assignment, route guidance, automatic guidance, and accident detection. The study of traffic flow forecasting is very significant in ITS. Many scholars have been studying on the topic and many forecasting models have been developed. Commonly used methods include average method, ARMA, linear regression, nonparametric regression, and neural networks [1-3]. The forecasting precisions of these methods usually can’t meet with the practical requirement. Support Vector Machines (SVM) is proposed by V. Vapnik in 1995[4]. It is a network model that is based on the principle of structure risk minimization and VC dimension theory. It can resolve small sample, nonlinear, high dimension, and local minimum problems efficiently [5]. SVM is mainly used to resolve classification and regression problems. Nonlinear regression SVM has been used to forecast traffic flow and obtained good results [6].

In practical, there are many parameters are available for the traffic flow forecasting. Many forecasting methods are real-time. Too many input parameters will decrease the real-time performance. In current traffic flowing forecasting research, mostly concentrate on short term history traffic flow data. Lots of history data are not taken into consideration because the computation cost is expensive. For taking full use of history traffic flow data and improving the computation speed, feature extraction is an efficient means. It can decrease the dimension of input and decrease the computation cost efficiently. Many feature extraction methods have been proposed, such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Self Organization Map (SOM) network, and so on[7-8]. Multidimentional Scaling (MDS) is a kind of Graphical representations method of multivariate data[9]. It is widely used in research and applications of many disciplines. The method is based on techniques of representing a set of observations by a set of points in a low-dimensional real (usually) Euclidean vector space, so that observations that are similar to one another are represented by points that are close together. It is a nonlinear dimension reduction method. But the computation complexity is O(n^2) and memory requirement is O(n^2). With the increase of sample size, the computation cost of MDS increase sharply. For improving the computation speed, interpolation MDS are introduced in reference [10]. It is used to extract feature from large scale traffic flow data. Nonlinear SVM is used to forecast traffic flow.

The following of the paper is organized as follows. Interpolation MDS method is introduced in part 2. Nonlinear SVM is introduced in part 3. Traffic flow forecasting procedure based on MDS and nonlinear SVM is introduced in part 4. A practical example is analyzed with the proposed model in part 5. At last some conclusions are summarized.

**2. Interpolation MDS**

**2.1 Multidimensional Scaling**

MDS is a non-linear optimization approach constructing a lower dimensional mapping of high dimensional data with respect to the given proximity information based on objective functions. It is an efficient feature extraction method. The method can be described as follows.

Given a collection of objects on which a distance function is defined as, the pairwise distance matrix of the objects can be denoted by

where is the distance between and . Euclidean distance is often adopted.

The goal of MDS is, given Δ, to find vectors () to minimization the STRESS or SSTRESS. The definition of STRESS and SSTRESS are as follows.

(1)

(2)

where , is a weight value (), is a Euclidean distance between mapping results of and . It may be a metric or arbitrary distance function. In other words, MDS attempts to find an [embedding](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Embedding) from the objects into such that distances are preserved.

**2.2 Interpolation Multidimensional Scaling**

One of the main limitations of most MDS applications is that it requires memory as well as computation. It is difficult to process MDS with large scale data set because of the limitation of memory limitation. Interpolation is a suitable solution for large scale MDS problems. The process can be summarized as follows.

Given *n* samples data in *N* dimension space, *m* samples are selected to be mapped into *L* dimension space with MDS. The other samples will be mapped into *L* dimension space with interpolation method. The computation cost and memory of interpolation MDS is only . It can improve the computing speed markedly.

Select one sample data , calculate the distance between the sample data and the pre-mapped samples . Select the nearest neighbors , where , who have the minimum distance values.

After data set being selected, the mapped value of the input sample is calculated through minimizing the following equations as similar as normal MDS problem with points.

(3)

In the optimization problems, only the position of the mapping position of input sample is variable. According to reference [10], the solution to the optimization problem can be obtained as

(4)

where and is the average of k pre-mapped results. The equation can be solved through iteration. The iteration will stop when the difference between two iterations is less than the prescribed threshold values. The difference between two iterations is denoted by

(5)

**3. Support Vector Machines**

SVM first maps the input points into a high-dimensional feature space with a nonlinear mapping function and then carry through linear classification or regression in the high-dimensional feature space. The linear regression in high-dimension feature space corresponds to the nonlinear classification or regression in low-dimensional input space. The general SVM can be described as follows.



Let training samples be , where , , . Nonlinear mapping function is . Nonlinear regression SVM can be implemented through solving the following equations.



(6)

Through optimization, optimum solution can be solved.



Select the positive sub-vector of or the positive sub-vector of and calculate the parameter



(7)



After getting the optimum parameters, the decision function can be denoted as

(8)



It is very important to choose appropriate kernel function of SVM. The kernel function must satisfy the Mercer condition. At present, many kernel function model have been developed. Commonly used kernel functions include

1. linear:
2. polynomial:
3. radial basis function (RBF):
4. sigmoid:

Here, are kernel parameters.

**4 Traffic Flow Forecasting**

In intelligent transportation system, many traffic flow parameters are useful in identifying the traffic state, such as speed, traffic flow volume, and time occupancy and so on. Short term forecasting of the parameters is the precondition of providing traffic information services. In the forecasting of the traffic flow parameters, many traffic flow data can be used, such as the previous sampling data, history cycle data and so on. The included data should be prescribed previously according to practical requirement and experience. Traffic flow forecasting model is built according to history traffic flow data. Training samples can be generated according to the model. Sample data are mapped into low dimension space with MDS method. Traffic flow data are forecasted based the mapped data with SVM. The method is summarized as follows.

1) Generate samples

Firstly, determine the feature vector , is the number of selected traffic flow data. Current time traffic flow data to be forecasted is denoted by . Samples can be generated according to the model with history traffic flow data.

2) Dimension reduction

Select some samples and mapped them into low dimension space with MDS methods introduced as in section 2.1. Prescribe the number of nearest neighbors. The other samples are mapped into low dimensions with interpolation method introduced as in section 2.2.

3) Traffic flow forecasting with SVM

All the mapped samples are divided into two parts. One part is used to train nonlinear SVM model. The other is used to test the trained model. Some indices can be used to evaluate the training model in quantitatively. Commonly used are following three indices.

(1)Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE)

(2) Mean absolute error (MAE)

(3) Mean square error (MSE)

where is the number of test samples, is the forecasting value, and is the detected value.



**5. Example**

**5.1 Data Source**

Jinan traffic police branch provides us with traffic flow data and video data of Jingshi Road expressway. Through the express way, there are about 14 intersections. The traffic flow data are collected by inductance loop vehicle detectors. We select traffic flow data of the cross between Jingshi road and Lishan Road from June 1, 2007 to July 1, 2007 to study. In the intersection, there are four directions. We select the direction from west to east. Data collecting equipment is loop detectors which can detector three traffic parameters, i.e. volume, average speed and occupancy. Collecting interval is 5 minutes. There are 53187 traffic flow data in total.

**5.2 Generate samples**

Traffic flow parameter value to be forecasted is denoted by variable . Traffic flow parameter value of current cross at previous sampling times are denoted by variable vector where denotes previous sampling time value. Traffic flow parameter value of current cross at history times are denoted by variable vector where denotes previous days’ time value. is taken as the feature vector.



In this example, previous sampling time data and history sampling data are prescribed. The history cycle is set 1 day. For generating samples, 5 days history data should be retained. 51747 samples are generated in the end.

**5.3 Dimension reduction**

In this example, 4000 samples are selected to be pre-mapped into low dimension space. Firstly, calculate the distance matrix. Euclidean distance is adopted here. Then calculate the mapped vector according to the distance matrix with MDS method. The others are mapped into low dimension with interpolation MDS method. The number of nearest neighbor is set . For comparison, the dimension number is set as 2, 3, and 5 respectively.

**5.4 Forecasting with SVM**

After selecting the independent variables, we take them as the input and variable as the output of SVM respectively. We select 31048 samples randomly as the training set to train the SVM and 20699 samples as the testing set.



For improving the training precision of SVM, all the traffic flow samples and corresponding transformed values are scaled to [-1,1]. The normalization is as following equation.

where denotes the scaled values of , , are the maximum and minimum values of . Scaled traffic flow parameters’ values are taken as the input of SVM.

The computation configuration is as follows. The operation OS is Ubuntu Linux. The processor is 3GHz Intel Xeon with 8GB RAM. Based on different feature dimension number, the training time of SVM is compared. For illustrate the efficiency of feature extraction, we train the SVM with all feature variables, i.e. no feature extraction. The training time based on 2, 3 ,5 and 15 feature dimensions are listed in table 1, table 2 and table 3. They corresponds to traffic parameter volume, speed and time occupancy respectively.

Table 1 training time and MDS processing time of volume

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dimension number | MDS time | Interpolation time | Training time | Total computation cost | Support vector number |
| 2 | 7 | 8 | 62.416 | 77.416 | 30873 |
| 3 | 8 | 9 | 63.419 | 80.419 | 30817 |
| 5 | 18 | 9 | 75.206 | 102.206 | 30812 |
| 15 | N/A | N/A | 153.091 | 153.091 | 30811 |

Table 2 training time and MDS processing time of speed

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dimension number | MDS time | Interpolation time | Training time | Total computation cost | Support vector number |
| 2 | 7 | 8 | 125.365 | 140.365 | 29807 |
| 3 | 8 | 9 | 131.385 | 148.385 | 29444 |
| 5 | 17 | 9 | 151.416 | 177.416 | 29439 |
| 15 | N/A | N/A | 258.214 | 258.214 | 29496 |

Table 3 training time and MDS processing time occupancy

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dimension number | MDS time | Interpolation time | Training time | Total computation cost | Support vector number |
| 2 | 5 | 8 | 102.999 | 115.999 | 30318 |
| 3 | 7 | 8 | 105.195 | 120.195 | 30255 |
| 5 | 15 | 9 | 123.943 | 147.943 | 30374 |
| 15 | N/A | N/A | 208.591 | 208.591 | 30422 |

After training SVM model, the left samples are used to test. The test results of traffic parameter volume, speed, and occupancy are listed in table 4, table 5 and table 6 respectively.

Table 4 forecasting result of Volume with SVM

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dimension number | MAPE | MAE | MSE |
| 2 | 0.3123 | 38.09 | 2629.40 |
| 3 | 0.3099 | 37.90 | 2507.73 |
| 5 | 0.3092 | 37.32 | 2394.04 |
| 15 | 0.2617 | 34.34 | 2128.12 |

Table 5 forecasting result of speed with SVM

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dimension number | MAPE | MAE | MSE |
| 2 | 0.1371 | 4.60 | 37.40 |
| 3 | 0.1366 | 4.51 | 36.78 |
| 5 | 0.1352 | 4.44 | 35.37 |
| 15 | 0.1181 | 3.97 | 29.93 |

Table 6 forecasting result of occupancy with SVM

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dimension number | MAPE | MAE | MSE |
| 2 | 0.2512 | 6.40 | 76.74 |
| 3 | 0.2479 | 6.26 | 72.89 |
| 5 | 0.2405 | 5.98 | 66.54 |
| 15 | 0.2063 | 5.14 | 54.10 |

**5.5 Forecasting with common used method**

For comparison, the samples are analyzed with average value and multiple linear regression methods.

5.5.1 Average value method

We take used of previous 15 time point’s traffic flow parameters  to forecast the current time point’s traffic flow parameter . The forecasting equation is



It doesn’t need history data to determine the calculation model. The forecasting errors are shown as in table 7.

Table 7 forecasting result of Volume based on average method

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Parameter | MAPE | MAE | MSE |
| volume | 0.2899 | 37.53 | 2477 |
| speed | 0.1423 | 4.94 | 40.48 |
| occupancy | 0.3440 | 7.85 | 124.89 |

5.5.2 Forecasting with multiple linear regression

Let variable  be dependent variable and , be independent variables. The regression equation is



31048 samples are used as training samples to determine the regression parameters  with minimum least square methods. The left 20699 samples are used to test. The forecasting errors are shown as in table 8.

Table 8 forecasting result of occupancy with SVM with multiple regression method

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| parameter | MAPE | MAE | MSE |
| Volume | 0.2841 | 37.10 | 2366.3 |
| Speed | 0.1346 | 4.64 | 35.99 |
| occupancy | 0.2464 | 6.09 | 68.16 |

**5.6 Results analysis**

The computation cost of training time and MDS processing time are shown as in figure 1. From the analysis results we can find the computation cost can be decreased markedly with the decrease of dimension number. It illustrates that feature extraction is efficient in traffic flow forecasting.



Figure 1 computation time based on different dimension number

The test results of different traffic parameters are shown as in figure 2, 3 and 4. From the results we can found that forecasting precision based on SVM is higher than that of classical forecasting methods. Although the forecasting precision based on dimension reduction is decreased, it is still higher or similar to that of classical method. The affection of the reduction method is not marked in the sample is because that the dimension of input is not very higher.




Figure 2 MAPE of forecasting results




Figure 3 MAE of forecasting results


****

Figure 4 MSE of forecasting results

6 Conclusions

How to improve the forecasting precision of traffic flow is still an important topic in intelligent transportation systems because of the complexity and nonlinear character. In this paper, a novel forecasting method combining MDS with nonlinear SVM to forecast traffic flow data is proposed. The MDS is used to decrease the input feature vector dimension. Interpolation MDS is used to improve the dimension reduction speed. The example analysis results show that the proposed method can improve the forecasting speed. At the same time, the forecasting precision will not decrease markedly. With the development of ITS, the input dimension of traffic flow forecasting will increase markedly and the scale of traffic flow data will become more large. The effective of the method will be more and more important to large scale traffic flow forecasting.

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by national youth science foundation (No. 61004115), national science foundation (No. 61272433), and Provincial Fund for Nature project (No. ZR2010FQ018).

**References**

1. Yang Z S. Basis traffic information fusion technology and its application. Beijing, China Railway Publish House, 2005.
2. Wang, F, Tan G Z, Deng C. Parallel SMO for Traffic Flow Forecasting. Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2010, 20(1): 843-848
3. Stephen C. Traffic Prediction Using Multivariate Nonparametric Regression. Journal of Transportation Engineering, 2003, 129(2): 161-168.
4. Cortes C, Vapnik V. Support Vector Networks[J]. Machine Learning, 1995, 20: 273–297.
5. Chang C C, Lin C J. LibSVM: a library for support vector machines. ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology, 2001, 2(3): 1--27.
6. Hong, W C. Application of seasonal SVR with chaotic immune algorithm in traffic flow forecasting. Neural Computing & Applications; 2012, 21(3): 583-593
7. Jolliffe, I. T. Principal component analysis. New York: Springer, 2002.
8. George K M. Self-Organizing Maps. INTECH, 2010
9. Borg I, Patrick J F. Modern Multidimensional Scaling: Theory and Applications. New York : Springer, 2005: 207–212
10. Seung-H B, Judy Q, Geoffrey F. Adaptive Interpolation of Multidimensional Scaling. International Conference on Computational Science, 2012: 393-402

**Zhanquan Sun,** Ph.D, associated professor of Shandong Computer Science Center. Major on intelligent transportation systems, data mining and cloud computing. Has presided and attended 10 research projects and published about 40 academic papers.

**Geoffrey Fox,** Ph.D. Distinguish professor of Informatics and Computing, and Physics at Indiana University where he is director of the Digital Science Center and Associate Dean for Research and Graduate Studies at the School of Informatics and Computing. Has published over 600 papers in physics and computer science.

Insert photo

length:25mm

width:20mm